All Participants: (1) D. L. Jones. (2) Sarah Klosck. Date of Interview: 3 January 2006 Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	Examiner D. L. Jones Status of Application: ame (3) (4) Time:	KOHN ET AL. Art Unit 1618 ended case
All Participants: (1) D. L. Jones. (2) Sarah Klosck. Date of Interview: 3 January 2006 Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	D. L. Jones Status of Application: <u>ame</u> (3) (4) Time:	1618
All Participants: (1) <u>D. L. Jones</u> . (2) <u>Sarah Klosck</u> . Date of Interview: <u>3 January 2006</u> Type of Interview: Telephonic Video Conference Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No	Status of Application: <u>ame</u> (3) (4) Time:	
(1) <u>D. L. Jones</u> . (2) <u>Sarah Klosck</u> . Date of Interview: <u>3 January 2006</u> Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	(3) (4) Time:	ended case
(2) <u>Sarah Klosck</u> . Date of Interview: <u>3 January 2006</u> Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	(4) Time:	
Date of Interview: 3 January 2006 Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	's representative)	
 ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) 		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The Examiner and Attorney discussed amendingf the formula of claim 1 such that the term (VIII) appears under the structure instead of the 'VIII)' that is current beside the structure. The Examiner was given authorization to make the change.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	· .	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Ap	onlicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03)

PRIMARY EXAMINER Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 01062006